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Abstract—Objective of this paper is to propose a new semi-automatic, adaptive and optimized triangular mesh generation technique for any domain (including free formed curves). This new technique is found by merging the generalised equations which were proposed in previous works with Delaunay triangulation method. The new technique is demonstrated for several domains with various boundaries. Initial meshes are generated for these domains, which are later optimized manually by addition, removal or replacement of sampling points. Finalized meshes consist of triangular elements with aspect ratio of less than 2 and minimum skewness of more than 45 degrees.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the steps involved in Finite Element Method (FEM) is the discretization of the problem domain (mesh creation). There are various methods proposed to generate triangular meshes for FEM. The most commonly used methods are the moving front technique also known as advancing front, Delaunay based methods and the Octree approach [1]. Recently, attempts to mesh domains with curved sides have been pursued by using higher order (HO) triangular elements [2]-[4]. In these methods, the author proposed HO triangular elements with two linear sides and one curved side. The single curved side (of the HO triangular element) is represented by a polynomial arc of arbitrary order, which is used to represent the curved boundaries of the problem domain. Later, a fully automated mesh generation code in Matlab has been developed for these HO triangular elements [5], by merging with triangular mesh generation techniques proposed by [6] or [7].

It is observed that the technique proposed by [5] has advantages such as higher accuracy in simulation results with lesser number of elements, accurate representation of curved sides of problem domain and simpler Matlab code for fully automated mesh generation (reduces the time and effort for the mesh generation). However, there are limitations in this method. The arbitrary curves of a problem domain should be able to be represented by polynomial arcs. Triangular element with high aspect ratio and skewness could also be generated as seen in Fig. 27- Fig. 29 of the paper [5], which can contribute to high stiffness for certain applications such as in solid mechanics. Therefore, mesh optimization needs to be carried out after the initial mesh generation, in order to untangle the flawed elements in the mesh. Several mesh improvement or optimization techniques are available in the literature and these techniques can be categorised as adaptive, smoothing and swapping [8].

Adaptive mesh optimization involves generation of high number of elements (fine mesh) within a specific region while the rest of the domain contains lesser number of elements (coarse mesh). This is done by using nested grid meshes. This technique does not focus on alteration of the element parameters, but rather focuses on improving the accuracy of the simulation results at regions in which the rate of change of field variables is high. This technique is also implemented to improve accuracy in geometry representation of a problem domain.

On the other hand, smoothing involves alteration of elements’ shape via relocation of the nodes within the mesh without changing the element connectivity. The nodes are relocated by arithmetic mean of connected nodes (known as Laplacian approach). Another way to relocate the nodes is by using certain algorithms to meet the predefined standards that are related to mesh quality (known as optimization-based approach). Third method to relocate the nodes is by assuming that the mesh is a deformable media and forces are applied to achieve the optimal element shape (known as physics-based approach).

Swapping is yet another technique to improve mesh quality by changing the shape of elements. Alteration of the element shape is done through operations such as edge and face swapping. This technique changes the element connectivity in the mesh.

In this work, a new semi-automatic approach for triangular mesh generation is proposed by merging the generalized equations developed in previous works [9]-[11] with Delaunay triangulation algorithm in Mathematica. Elements in the initial mesh are later optimized by relocation, addition and removal of nodes. Advantages of this proposed method are that it is applicable for adaptive mesh generation, aspect ratio and skewness of the elements are within the desired range (attained through manual optimization), applicable for any order of triangular element (achieved through subparametric mapping), and the meshes can be formed for domain with any curves, including free formed curves. Disadvantages of this technique are that it requires effort and the process is time consuming (especially during the manual optimization), free formed curves are approximated by linear lines and for this case (in the case of mesh generation for
domain with free formed curves), sample boundary points on these free formed curves are required. The proposed approach is described in the following sections.

II. DETAILS OF THE METHOD

A. Generalised Equations for Numerical Integration

Generalised equations were proposed in previous works [9]-[11] to enable numerical or exact integration of functions over arbitrary domains. The generalized equation for numerical integration within two dimensional (2D) domains is recalled here, as shown by (1):

\[ I_f = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(x, y) \, dx \quad \text{or} \quad I_f = \int_{\gamma}^{\delta} f(x, y) \, dy \]

where

- \( U \) is upper limit
- \( L \) is lower limit
- \( w_i, v_i \) are integration weights
- \( U_i = 1, 2, …, n \)
- \( n \) is integration order

through linear mapping described by (1). For this purpose, two types of parent rectangular domains (within range of -1 to 1) with different number of parent sample points (SP) are created and denoted as SP13 and SP41, respectively (shown in Fig. 1). These parent rectangular domains can be used interchangeably to form coarse or/and fine meshes within a domain. Details are provided in the upcoming examples 1-4.

C. Mesh Quality

Among various parameters which represent quality of a triangular mesh, aspect ratio and skewness are the most important aspects which should be paid attention to. Recent attempt to improve quality of triangular meshes based on aspect ratio can be seen in [12]. In this work, both aspect ratio and skewness are optimized manually, through Mathematica platform. Highest aspect ratio among the elements in all the optimized meshes considered here is less than 2 and minimum skewness angle is greater than 45 degrees. The aspect ratio (AR) is calculated based on the formulas (2) and (3):

\[ AR = \frac{a}{b(\sigma-a)(\sigma-b)(\sigma-c)} \]  

\[ s = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + c) \]  

\( a, b, \) and \( c \) represent length of each side of a triangular element. The skewness is determined by calculating the lowest angle formed by intersection of two lines within the triangle. The first line is drawn such a way that it connects one of the triangular nodes to the midpoint of opposite side. The second line connects midpoints of the other two sides. Since there are 3 nodes for a triangular element, therefore total of three combinations of such intersection can be obtained. These combinations are shown in Fig. 2. Skewness for a particular triangular element is represented by the smallest angle which is formed by the intersections in the three configurations shown in Fig. 2.
The finalized meshes are shown in Fig. 6(b) and Fig. 7(b).

![Fig. 6. Coarse triangular meshes for the quadrant of a hollow cylinder (a) Initial mesh (b) Enhanced mesh.](image)

![Fig. 7. Fine triangular meshes for the quadrant of hollow cylinder (a) Initial mesh (b) Enhanced mesh.](image)

![Fig. 8. Partitioning of a wrench into several regions for adaptive mesh creation.](image)

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Example 1: Quadrant of a Circle

The technique is demonstrated here for a quadrant of a circle which consists of two linear sides and a curve. SP13 and SP41 are mapped individually to the problem domain by letting \( U = 1, \ L = -1, \ r(y) = 0 \) and \( s(y) = \sqrt{1 - y^2} \) into (1). Initial triangular meshes are then formed by using the Mathematica command Delaunay Triangulation. The initial meshes together with the actual domain boundary for both coarse (obtained from mapping of SP13) and fine (obtained from mapping of SP41) meshes are shown in Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 4(a). It is seen that the actual domain boundary is not accurately captured in the coarse mesh (node 3 in Fig. 3(a)) while the fine mesh consists of elements with high aspect ratio and skewness (highlighted in Fig. 4(a)).

Therefore, the initial meshes need to be enhanced in order to ensure that the meshes are suitable for FEM. Aspect ratio and skewness of each element are calculated for the initial meshes in order to determine regions/elements which are in need for enhancement. One of the advantages of Delaunay triangulation is that the method allows addition, deletion and replacement of sample points within the domain to enhance the mesh. Hence, the affected elements/regions are then enhanced by manually adding, deleting or replacing nodes. The initial coarse mesh is improved by moving node 3 to the surface of the domain while the initial fine mesh is improved by addition and replacement of nodes at the affected regions. The improved meshes for both cases (coarse and fine meshes) are shown in Fig. 3(b) and Fig. 4(b).

B. Example 2: Quadrant of a Hollow Cylinder

Quadrant of a hollow cylinder with two polynomial curved boundaries is meshed by using the proposed method. The domain is partitioned into two regions (R1 and R2) as shown in Fig. 5, based on the requirements stated previously. R1 and R2 are meshed individually and later combined together. Initial meshes obtained by mapping the SP13 and SP41 are shown in Fig. 6(a) and Fig. 7(a). The initial coarse mesh is optimized by moving nodes 13 and 21, and adding node 23.
C. Example 3: Adaptive Meshing for a Wrench

A wrench, consisting of head and socket as shown in Fig. 8 is taken as an example to demonstrate adaptive meshing by using the proposed technique. The tip of the head need to be discretized by using high number of small elements in order to capture the curved tip accurately, compared to the other parts of the wrench. First, the domain is discretized into several regions as shown in Fig. 8. SP13 and SP41 are interchangeably used to obtain the sample points for these regions as well as to obtain the adaptive mesh for the tip of the head. Initial and final meshes for the socket and the head are shown in Fig. 9-14.

D. Example 4: Free Formed Curves

Mesh creation for a domain with free formed curves (Fig. 15) is demonstrated here. The domain is partitioned into several regions (R1-R18) as shown in Fig. 16. Since the free formed curves are not represented by any functions, therefore these curves are initially approximated as linear lines to generate initial meshes. Later, the boundary surfaces (free formed curves) are discretized to generate the sample points for the optimization of the meshes near the boundaries (R1-R14). Discretization of the free formed curves is indicated by small circles in the Fig. 16. Initial meshes are optimized meshes are shown in Fig. 17 and Fig. 18.

E. Mesh for Higher Order Triangular Elements

The optimized meshes created in all the examples above can be used for HO triangular elements as well, by mapping the parent element nodes to the elements in the mesh. Shape functions of a 3 nodes triangular element as shown in (1) can be used for the mapping (subparametric mapping for HO triangular elements). Examples for mapping of 3 nodes, 6
nodes and 10 nodes triangular elements are shown in Fig. 19-21.

\[
x = N_1 x_1 + N_2 x_2 + N_3 x_3; \\
y = N_1 y_1 + N_2 y_2 + N_3 y_3
\]

(4)

\[N_1, N_2 \text{ and } N_3 \text{ represent shape functions of parent 3 nodes triangular element in natural coordinate system (in } r, s). x_i \text{ and } y_i (i = 1, 2, 3) \text{ represent coordinates of the vertex of the elements in global coordinate system (in } x, y).\]
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\[
N_1 + N_2 + N_3 = 1
\]

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A new technique to generate triangular meshes for arbitrary geometry is demonstrated within Mathematica platform by coupling the generalized equation (1) with Delaunay triangulation. The meshes are later optimized manually (by adding, removing or moving nodes) such that highest aspect ratio among the elements is less than 2 and minimum skewness angle is greater than 45 degrees. The method is shown to be applicable for any arbitrary domain, and for adaptive mesh. The method can be used for any order of triangular element as well, by linear mapping. Future work would be to minimize the effort and time spent on the manual optimization by incorporating mesh improvement algorithms into the execution code.

APPENDIX

Mathematica code to generate mesh for hollow cylinder by using the proposed technique (example 2) is provided here:
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