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Abstract—Due to the fact that 3D printing has been recently applied in many areas of life, a large amount of 3D printing models have been attacked and stolen by hackers. Moreover, some special models and anti-weapon models used in 3D printing must be secured from unauthorized users. Therefore, 3D printing models must be encrypted before being stored and transmitted in order to prevent illegal copying. In this paper, we present a random encryption algorithm for 3D printing models. The proposed algorithm is based on randomly encrypting the vertices of each facet using a secret key after the geometric transformation process. Each facet of the 3D printing model is distorted by a geometric transformation and the three vertices of each distorted facet are then used to construct a $3 \times 3$ matrix. The coefficients of the constructed matrix are randomly encrypted using the random numbers of another matrix in order to generate the encrypted 3D printing model. The experimental results verify that the proposed algorithm is very effective for 3D printing models. The entire 3D triangle mesh is altered after the encryption process. The proposed algorithm is a better method and offers more security than the previously reported methods.

Index Terms—3D printing data, 3D printing security, 3D triangle mesh, geometric transformation and randomization

I. INTRODUCTION

Three-dimensional (3D) printing, also known as additive manufacturing, is a process of making 3D solid objects from a digital file, which is widely used in many areas of life [1], [2]. Due to the fact that the benefits of 3D printing are enormous in all domains and the price of a 3D printer is not expensive, users can buy a 3D printer and easily download 3D printing models from the Internet to print out physical 3D objects without any permission from the original providers. Moreover, some special 3D printing models and anti-3D weapon models must be secured from unauthorized users. Therefore, 3D printing models should be encrypted before being stored and transmitted in order to ensure access and to prevent illegal copying.

In fact, watermarking is not suitable for secured storage and transmission because these techniques do not alter the content of the 3D printing models. They only embed watermark data into the 3D printing models and anybody can see the content of the 3D printing data and design them again for 3D printing [3]-[5]. So, encryption techniques are essential to encrypt 3D printing models before storage and transmission.

To meet the above issues, we would like to propose a random encryption algorithm for 3D printing models in this paper. The data format of 3D printing models is 3D triangle mesh. Each facet of the 3D triangle mesh is distorted by the geometric transformation process and the three vertices of each facet are used to construct a $3 \times 3$ dimensional matrix. The coefficients of the constructed matrix were randomly encrypted by the random numbers of another $3 \times 3$ matrix to generate the encrypted 3D triangle mesh. To clarify the proposed algorithm, we organize our paper as follows: In Section II, we look into the previously reported encryption techniques used for 3D models and explain the relationship of the 3D triangle mesh to the proposed algorithm. In Section III, we describe the proposed algorithm in detail. The experimental results and evaluation of the proposed algorithm are shown in Section IV. Section V gives the conclusions.

II. RELATED WORK

A. 3D Model Encryption

There are some techniques for 3D CAD model encryption reported in the literature. Marc et al. [6] proposed a method to encrypt 3D objects based on geometry-preserving. This algorithm introduces a geometry-preserving paradigm that heavily distorts 3D objects while preserving some intrinsic geometrical properties, thereby avoiding a global corruption of the whole 3D scene. The key idea of this method is to only permute some facets of a 3D object. It did not alter the entire shape of the 3D object and it is not effective in various formats of 3D printing models. Moreover, the reconstruction cannot fully restore the encrypted 3D objects and the security of this method is very low. Cai et al. [7]-[9] proposed an encryption approach for CAD models, which is based on geometric transformation encryption mechanisms on the features of CAD models. The key content of this approach is centered on an enhanced encryption transformation matrix, which is characterized parametric, randomized, and self-adaptive for feature encryption. This method only slightly changes the shape of the 3D CAD models. Consequently, the previously reported methods cannot respond to the secured storage and transmission of 3D printing models.

B. 3D Triangle Mesh Based Encryption

The input of 3D printing is a 3D triangle mesh [10], [11]. A 3D triangle mesh is a set of facets. Each facet contains three vertices (a triangle) and a normal vector (see Fig. 1). Each vertex is presented by three coordinates $x$, $y$, and $z$. Thus, to encrypt a 3D triangle mesh, we only extract the facets and
encrypt all the facets using a secret key. However, the normal vector of a facet only describes the direction of a facet, it does not determine the shape of a 3D triangle mesh. So, we only need to encrypt the triangles of the 3D triangle mesh to generate the encrypted 3D triangle mesh.

III. THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM

A. Overview

The proposed algorithm is described in Fig. 2. The facets are initially extracted from the 3D triangle mesh. Each facet is then distorted by the shearing process that uses the shearing vector. The shearing vector is generated by the index of the facet and the secret key value $K$. The key value $K$ is generated by a hashing function with user’s key input. After the shearing process, the three vertices of the distorted facet are used to construct a $3 \times 3$ matrix. This matrix is then randomly encrypted with a matrix of random numbers by the matrix randomization process in order to obtain the encrypted facet. The matrix of random numbers is also generated by the key value $K$ and the random distribution function. Finally, the encrypted facets are re-arranged to obtain the encrypted 3D triangle mesh. The encrypted 3D triangle mesh is a set of the encrypted facets.

B. Random Encryption

A 3D triangle mesh contains a set of facets. Each facet includes three vertices. Each vertex is presented by $x$, $y$, and $z$ coordinates. We consider a 3D triangle mesh $M = \{F_i\} i \in [1, |M|]$ with $|M|$ is the cardinalities of a 3D triangle mesh; $F_i = \{v_{i1}, v_{i2}, v_{i3} \}$ indicates the $i$th facet with three vertices $\{v_{i1}, v_{i2}, v_{i3} \}$ and the normal vector $n_i(nx_i, ny_i, nz_i)$. Due to the fact that the normal vector of a facet does not determine the shape of 3D triangle mesh, we briefly consider the facet $F_i$ includes three vertices as Eq. (1):

$$F_i = \{v_{i1}, v_{i2}, v_{i3} \} i \in [1, |M|]$$

In brief, we define the main notation as the following: $T_i = \{t_{i1}, t_{i2}, t_{i3} \} i \in [1, |M|]$ is the shearing vector corresponding to $F_i$, $F_i = \{v_{i1}, v_{i2}, v_{i3} \} i \in [1, |M|]$ is the distorted facets after the shearing process, $A_i$ is the matrix constructed from the three vertices of $F_i$, $R$ is the matrix of random numbers, which is used to randomize the matrix $A_i$, and $E_i = \{e_{i1}, e_{i2}, e_{i3} \} i \in [1, |M|]$ is the encrypted facet. Finally, $G_F(\cdot), G_E(\cdot), S_F(\cdot)$ and $R_F(\cdot)$ are the shearing vector generation function, the random numbers generation function, the facet shearing function and the matrix randomization function, respectively.

To distort the facet $F_i$, we have to generate the shearing vector $T_i$ using the index of facet $F_i$ and the key value $K$, as shown in Eq. (2). The key value $K$ is generated by the SHA-512 hashing algorithm [12] that use the user’s key input. The length of each key value is 512 bits. The facet $F_i$ is then distorted into $F'_i$ by the shearing function, as shown in Eq. (3),

$$T_i = G_F(K, i) \tag{2}$$

$$F'_i = S_F(T_i, F_i) \tag{3}$$

Finally, $V_i(x_{i1}, y_{i1}, z_{i1}), v_{i1}(x_{i2}, y_{i2}, z_{i2})$ and $v_{i1}(x_{i3}, y_{i3}, z_{i3})$ are the coordinates of the three vertices of the distorted facets $F'_i$.

The coordinates of three vertices of the encrypted facet $F'_i$ were used to construct the $3 \times 3$ matrix $A_i$, as shown in Eq. (4). This matrix is then randomly encrypted using the matrix of random numbers $R$. The matrix $R$ is generated by the random number generation function that uses the key value $K$ as the seed input, as shown in Eq. (5). The randomization of the matrix $A_i$ is shown in Eq. (6).

$$A_i = \begin{bmatrix} x'_{i1} & x'_{i2} & x'_{i3} \\ y'_{i1} & y'_{i2} & y'_{i3} \\ z'_{i1} & z'_{i2} & z'_{i3} \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

$$R = G_E(K) \tag{5}$$

$$A'_i = R_F(A_i, R) \tag{6}$$

After the matrix randomization process, all the coefficients of matrix $A_i$ are altered into the encrypted matrix $A'_i$. Finally,
all the coefficients of matrix $A'_i$ will be re-arranged in order to obtain the encrypted facet $E_{Fi}$, as shown in Eq. (7). The encrypted facet $E_{Fi}$ includes three encrypted vertices $e_{i1}(ex_{i1}, ey_{i1}, ez_{i1})$, $e_{i2}(ex_{i2}, ey_{i2}, ez_{i2})$, $e_{i3}(ex_{i3}, ey_{i3}, ez_{i3})$. The encrypted 3D triangle mesh $E_M$ is a set of the encrypted facets, as shown in Eq. (8). Fig. 3 shows the random encryption process for a facet of a 3D triangle mesh.

$$E_i = \{e_{i1}, e_{i2}, e_{i3} | i \in [1, |M|]\}$$

(7)  

$$E_M = \{E_i | i \in [1, |M|]\}$$

(8)  

C. Decryption Process

The decryption process is the inverse process of the encryption process. The encrypted facet is also extracted from the encrypted 3D triangle mesh in order to construct a matrix and perform the re-randomization process. The matrix of random numbers is also generated by the random number generation function with the key seed value $K$. The shearing vector is also similarly generated using the key value $K$ as in the encryption process. If, in the encryption process, the coefficients are randomized by multiplying the coefficients with random numbers, in the decryption process we only need to divide the encrypted coefficients for random numbers. The re-shearing process is also an inverse process with the shearing process, which is shown in Eq. (3). Finally, the decrypted facets are re-arranged to restore the original 3D triangle mesh.

$$\begin{align*}
    &\text{Shearing} \\
    &r_i(x_i, y_i, z_i) \\
    &\text{Matrix Construction} \\
    &A_i \\
    &\text{Re-Arrangement} \\
    &E_i(x_{i1}, y_{i1}, z_{i1}) \\
    &E_i(x_{i2}, y_{i2}, z_{i2}) \\
    &E_i(x_{i3}, y_{i3}, z_{i3}) \\
    &\text{Encryption} \\
    &E_{Fi}(x_{Fi}, y_{Fi}, z_{Fi}) \\
    &E_{Fi}(x_{Fi}, y_{Fi}, z_{Fi}) \\
    &E_{Fi}(x_{Fi}, y_{Fi}, z_{Fi})
\end{align*}$$

Fig. 3. The random encryption process used for each facet.

### TABLE I: EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th># Facets</th>
<th>Entropy (dB)</th>
<th>Computation time (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cube Corner</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>5018</td>
<td>2237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2227</td>
<td>2247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupula</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>6057</td>
<td>2869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2877</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>8483</td>
<td>3812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>353426</td>
<td>6456.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32318.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blade Holder</td>
<td>6524</td>
<td>82702</td>
<td>38098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38101</td>
<td>175.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pikachu</td>
<td>6870</td>
<td>87598</td>
<td>40374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40376</td>
<td>186.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse</td>
<td>26562</td>
<td>424246</td>
<td>194879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>197894</td>
<td>2329.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bear</td>
<td>48552</td>
<td>755853</td>
<td>353426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>353663</td>
<td>6456.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapy</td>
<td>289958</td>
<td>5261461</td>
<td>2485772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2485780</td>
<td>32318.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We implemented the proposed algorithm with 3D triangle meshes, as shown in Table I. The format of the 3D triangle meshes was a STL file and VRML file [10], [11]. Detailed information regarding the models is shown in Table I. In order to evaluate the proposed algorithm, we evaluated the visualization experiments, security, and computation time of the proposed algorithm. Section IV. A shows the visualization experiments. Section IV. B shows the security evaluation and the computation time of the proposed algorithm is shown in Section IV. C.

A. Visualization Experiments

The experimental results are shown in Fig. 4. The number of facets in each model is different. After the encryption process, the facets are distorted into small facets (see “Encrypted cupula” and “Encrypted blade holder”) or big facets (see “Encrypted cube corner” and “Encrypted horse”), changed location and positioned disorderly (see “Encrypted bear” and so on”). This leads to the shape of the 3D triangle meshes being changed. Consequently, the content of the 3D triangle meshes was completely altered after the random encryption process. Pirates or unauthorized users cannot extract or view the content in the 3D triangle meshes. In Cai’s method [7]-[9], the encrypted CAD model was slightly changed. Anybody can see the content of the encrypted CAD model. When compared with the Cai method, the perceptual results of the proposed method are improved.
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Thus, the entropy of this method is always lower than the proposed method. With the test models shown in Table I, the
entropy of Marc’s method is formed from 2237 dB to 2.48 × 10^6 dB (see Table I). In Cai’s method [9], he encrypted the
features of a 3D CAD model using a random 3 × 3 matrix that was generated from the a secret key. Thus, we can consider
that Cai’s method encrypted 3D CAD models are based on features and a random matrix using secret key K. So, the
entropy of this method is dependent on both the number of features and the 3 × 3 matrix. In the experimental results of
Cai’s method, around 50% of the facets are selected as the feature of the 3D CAD model. With the test models shown in
Table I, the entropy of Cai’s method is formed from 2247 dB to 2.48 × 10^6 dB. Fig. 5 shows the entropy of the proposed
method with the entropy of the previous methods according to the number of facets. The entropy of the proposed method
is always higher than the entropy of the previous methods. Consequently, the proposed method is better and offers more
security than the previous methods.

B. Security Evaluation

To evaluate the security of the proposed method, we will analyze the entropy of the encrypted 3D triangle mesh. If the
entropy is high, the security will be high. From the equations in Section III, we can see that the entropy of the encrypted 3D
triangle mesh is dependent on the secret key K, the shearing vector T, the matrix of random numbers R and the number of facets |M|. However, K, T, R and |M| are random independent variables. So the entropy of the encrypted 3D triangle mesh H_M is the sum of the entropies of the variables K, T, R and |M|, and determined using Eq. (9).

\[
H_M = H(K) + H(R) + H(T) + H(|M|) = |K| \log_2 |K| + |M| \log_2 |M| + |T| \log_2 |T| + |R| \log_2 |R|
\]

(9)

However, |R| = 9 and |T| is dependent on |M|. Consequently, the entropy of the encrypted 3D triangle mesh is dependent on K and the parameters of the 3D triangle mesh. Assuming that the secret key is fixed, we can calculate the
entropy of the encrypted 3D triangle mesh according to the number of facets |M|, as shown in Table I. The entropy of the encrypted 3D triangle mesh is formed from 5018 dB to 5.26 × 10^6 dB with |M| ∈ [576, 74830]. Based on Eq. (9) and Table I we can see that if |M| is high, the entropy will be high.

In Marc’s method [6], he used the secret key K to encrypt and change the location of the vertices of the 3D triangle mesh in OXYZ space. We can understand that Marc’s method encrypted the vertices of 3D triangle mesh using secret key K. However, the number of vertices in a 3D triangle mesh is always smaller than the number of facets. Thus, the entropy of this method is always lower than the proposed method. With the test models shown in Table I, the entropy of Marc’s method is formed from 2237 dB to 2.48 × 10^6 dB (see Table I). In Cai’s method [9], he encrypted the features of a 3D CAD model using a random 3 × 3 matrix that was generated from the a secret key. Thus, we can consider that Cai’s method encrypted 3D CAD models are based on features and a random matrix using secret key K. So, the entropy of this method is dependent on both the number of features and the 3 × 3 matrix. In the experimental results of Cai’s method, around 50% of the facets are selected as the feature of the 3D CAD model. With the test models shown in Table I, the entropy of Cai’s method is formed from 2247 dB to 2.48 × 10^6 dB. Fig. 5 shows the entropy of the proposed method with the entropy of the previous methods according to the number of facets. The entropy of the proposed method is always higher than the entropy of the previous methods. Consequently, the proposed method is better and offers more security than the previous methods.

Fig. 4. The experimental results obtained for the test models.
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Fig. 5. The entropy of the proposed method according to the number of facets.

C. Computation Time

In our experiments, we used an Intel Core i7 Quad 3.5 GHz processor, 8 GB of RAM, Windows 7 64-bits and C++ on
Visual Studio 2013. The computation time of the proposed method is dependent on the number of facets. With the test
models shown in Table I, the computation time is observed from 22.6 ms to 32318 ms with |M| ∈ [548, 289958]. From
Table I, we conclude that if the number of facets is small, the computation time is small and otherwise. In Marc’s method,
he did not show the computation time, so we could not compare Marc’s method with ours. In Cai’s method, he only
analyzed the complexity time. The computation time of Cai’s method is dependent on the time of valid check CAD model,
time of feature encryption and time of CAD model encryption. He concluded that it was sufficient enough to meet the user’s requirements. With the dependence on three
processes in Cai’s method, we considered and evaluated that the computation time of Cai’s method is greater by at least two times the computation time of our method. When compared to the Cai method, our method is faster. Fig. 6 shows the computation time of the proposed method and Cai’s method according to the number of facets.

![Fig. 6. The computation time according to the number of facets.](image)

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed a random encryption algorithm for 3D printing models. It is based on distorting the facets of the 3D printing model by geometric transformation and then encrypting the vertices of the distorted facets by randomizing the vertices of the distorted facet with the matrix of random numbers. The proposed method is more effective than the previously reported methods. It is also responsive to the various formats of 3D printing models. It provides a better solution and more security than the previously reported methods. It can be applied to secured storage and transmission.
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