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Abstract—In this paper, we provide a robust forecasting model to predict phone prices in European markets using Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural network and Support Vector Regression (SVR). We propose a comparison study of time series forecasting models for these two techniques. LSTM, due to its architecture, is considered as the perfect solution to problems not resolvable by classic Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs). On the other hand, Support Vector Machines (SVMs) are a very powerful machine learning method for both classification and regression. After studying and comparing several univariate models, SVR and LSTM neural networks appear to be the most accurate ones. In addition, we compared multivariate models for both these techniques. Considering the multivariate approach, by introducing more variables, we obtain better prediction performance. In fact, the SVR model is able to predict the next day price with an root mean squared error (RMSE) of 33.43 euros with the univariate model. However, using multivariate models, LSTM RNN gives the most accurate prediction for the next day’s price with an RMSE of 23.640 euros.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the last few decades, e-commerce markets have grown almost exponentially worldwide. According to a 2016 global B2C e-commerce report made by the e-Commerce Foundation [1], the global B2C turnover is about 2,272.7 billion dollars in 2015, which represents an increase of 19.9% over the turnover for the previous year. This increase can be explained by different acting indicators such as the rate of penetration into the market, Internet accessibility, economic indicators, etc. Many retailers and market places, like Amazon, invest in research and development to the tune of 1.86 billion dollars in order to further increase their turnover. The possibility to adjust the price by forecasting competitors’ product prices is similar to predicting the financial stock prices when you have adequate competitor’s time series data, which essentially is the collection of the entire displayed data whether with time stamps or implicitly indicating that the data is collected from a process that varies with time [2].

Studying a time series assumed an important role in human science since the time trajectory observations of planets were made by the Babylonians [3] and Mayans. They could predict astronomical events with notable accuracy. These observations formed the base for Kepler’s laws for planetary motion. Time series analyses allow the detection of cyclic or similar patterns in an observed variable. In the 19th century, this methodology was applied to economic problems by William Stanley Jevons [4]. Decomposing a time series into independent unobserved components was developed by Warren M. Persons in 1919 [5]. There are four components: a trend, a cyclical component, a seasonal cycle containing ups and downs, and a residual component containing all the other observed movements. This approach forms the basis of statistical methodologies for time series analyses and forecasting.

The main purpose of time series modeling is to carefully collate and rigorously study the past observations of a time series in order to develop an appropriate model that describes the inherent structure of the series. A forecast is then generated using this model. In other terms, time series forecasting can be expressed as the ability of predicting the future values by understanding the past. Predicting the evolution of some variables in various fields such as finance [6], insurance [7], and oceanography [8] is very valuable and priceless; this method makes scientists and researchers more rigorous in building and fitting the most adequate model for their time series.

Over many years, researchers have been working on different linear statistical models, such as ARIMA [9], to improve forecasting accuracies. However, in the late 1970s and early 1980s, it became increasingly clear that linear models are not a good fit for many real applications. During that period, researchers used several nonlinear time series models, such as the bilinear model, the threshold autoregressive model, and the autoregressive conditional heteroscedastic (ARCH) [10], [11] model. Until recently, certain robust machine learning models such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) and Support Vector Regression (SVR) have established themselves as serious contenders to classical statistical models in the forecasting community. These techniques were freshly implemented in the interest of forecasting in many fields [12], [13]. Such models, also called data-driven models, are non-parametric, nonlinear models that only use historical data to learn the stochastic dependency between the past and the future.

In Section II, we cite some related works and we will introduce the two forecast methods used, namely, the SVR and LSTM neural networks. In Section III, we present our
contribution by detailing our methodology and the experimental results. Finally, the conclusion and perspectives are given in Section IV.

II. BACKGROUND

A. Related Works

The field of artificial intelligence (AI) includes the techniques and methods of machine learning and deep learning. Machine learning techniques can be classified into four categories: Supervised Learning, Unsupervised Learning, Reinforcement Learning, and Competitive Learning. Supervised Learning can be used for classification and regression. Unsupervised Learning is used for clustering, anomalies detection, and dimension reduction. Reinforcement Learning is used for behavior simulation, e.g., robotics, game AI, and self-driving cars.

Many works in the literature have used machine learning and deep learning techniques for time series predictions, especially in financial time series. Martin et al. [12] presented a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) to predict future time series values. Mukherjee et al. [14] used a Support Vector Machine (SVM) for nonlinear time series prediction. Giles et al. [15] used RNNs and Grammatical Inference to predict changes in foreign exchange rates for the next day. Lee et al. [16] demonstrated that a SVM with a regularized radial basis function can yield a better prediction than a Back Propagation Neural Network for financial forecasting. Asaad et al. [17] presented a boosting algorithm for the LSTM to predict the time series. In this study, we do not need to know the explicit mapping function to the two constraints of the primal problem and $\kappa$ is the chosen Kernel function [21]. Using the fact that $w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{\alpha}_i - \alpha_i) \phi(x_i)$, we can prove that the solution is as follows:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{\alpha}_i - \alpha_i) \kappa(x_i, x) + b$$

$b$ is determined by the KKT algorithm.

B. SVR

A SVM was first used to resolve classification issues. Later, this machine learning method was developed to be the perfect fit for regression problems [20].

Suppose we are given training data of the form $\{(x_i, y_i) : i = 1...n\} \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\Omega$ denotes the input space. The input data is transformed from $\Omega$ to a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space $\mathcal{H}$ via the feature map $\phi$ [15]. The data is then transformed into $\{\phi(x_i, y_i) : i = 1...n\}$.

Our aim is to find a nonlinear function $f$ defined on $\Omega$ such that

$$f(x) = \langle w, \phi(x) \rangle + b$$

where $w \in \mathcal{H}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the weight vector and $b$ is a constant.

To estimate the parameters, SVR is formulated as an optimization problem. A loss function is minimized subject to some constraints.

The problem is formulated as follows:

$$\min_{w, b} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\xi_i^+ + \xi_i^-)$$

subject to

$$\langle w, \phi(x_i) \rangle + b - y_i \leq \epsilon + \xi_i^-$$

$$y_i - \langle w, \phi(x_i) \rangle - b \leq \epsilon + \xi_i^+$$

where $C$ defines a constant that represents a trade-off between the tolerance of the estimation $\epsilon$ and the flatness of the solution.

The solution is obtained using the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) algorithm, considering the dual problem:

$$\max_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (\alpha_i + \alpha_j)(\tilde{\alpha}_i + \tilde{\alpha}_j) \kappa(x_i, x_j) - \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\tilde{\alpha}_i + \alpha_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i (\tilde{\alpha}_i + \alpha_i) \right\}$$

subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\tilde{\alpha}_i + \alpha_i) = 0$$

$$\alpha_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i \in [0, \frac{C}{n}]$$

C. LSTM

A LSTM neural network, introduced in [24], is a specific type of RNN that is more appropriate when it comes to modeling long-range dependencies. As compared to RNNs,
the LSTM’s architecture contains memory blocks instead of hidden units.

A memory block contains one or more memory cells that are modulated by nonlinear sigmoids gates and are applied multiplicatively. In order to reduce the parameters, memory cells are sharing the same gates. These gates determine whether the model keeps the values at the gates (if the gates evaluate to 1) or discards them (if the gates evaluate to 0). In this way, the network can exploit long-range temporal contexts [24].

Given the input sequence \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_T) \), the network computes a mapping sequence to the output \( y = (y_1, \ldots, y_T) \). The following equations determine the unit activations:

\[
\begin{align*}
i_t &= \sigma(W_i x_t + W_h h_{t-1} + W_f f_t + b) \\
f_t &= \sigma(W_f x_t + W_h h_{t-1} + W_c c_t + b) \\
c_t &= f_t c_{t-1} + i_t \tanh(W_i x_t + W_h h_{t-1} + b) \\
o_t &= \sigma(W_o x_t + W_h h_{t-1} + W_c c_t + b) \\
i_t &= o_t \tanh(c_t)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the logistic sigmoid function; gates \( i, f, o, \) and \( c \) are the input gate, forget gate, output gate, and cell activation vector, respectively. All these vectors have the same size as the hidden vector \( h \). The \( W \) terms denote the weight matrices from the cell to gate vectors (e.g., \( W_i \)). Here, \( \tanh \) denotes the output activation function [25].

As far as the backward pass is concerned, our LSTM network compiles an iterative gradient descent algorithm, minimizing the usual root mean squared error [24].

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

This study is based on the daily phone prices in one particular marketplace, namely, amazon.fr. The forecast models were tested on different time series. In this paper, our target is the time series available from the April 3, 2016, to March 23, 2017, involving prices related to a specific product, namely, the Samsung Galaxy S7 (32 GB). We used Python in our implementation with different application programming interfaces (APIs). For machine learning and deep learning, we used Scikit-learn and TensorFlow as a backend to the keras library. The LSTM model was implemented under the keras library with TensorFlow backend for parallel GPU computing. Both the libraries are available in Python.

A. Univariate Models

We first started by forecasting the time series only using the values of the variable being forecast. Our regression model considered the past observations as the input and the future values as the output. The window approach [20] involves the following:

\[
\hat{x}_t = f(x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \ldots, x_{t-n})
\]

where \( \hat{x} \) is the forecast value, \( x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \ldots, x_{t-n} \) denotes the training values, and \( n \) is the window size. After different attempts, we chose to fix the window size at 7 for this case study. Fig. 2 shows the predicted values in red; the actual values are denoted in blue.

The constructed time series used for the study considered the daily mean values. With machine learning techniques, time series decomposition into trends, residues, and seasonal components is not needed, which is not the case in other statistical methods like ARIMA. We feed the time series as an input to the tested models.

During our experiments, we built our SVR model using the Scikit-learn library. The LSTM model was implemented using the keras library with TensorFlow backend for parallel GPU computing. Both the libraries are available in Python.

We can see that although there is a difference between the two curves, the SVR model with a linear kernel is able to detect the variations perfectly.

In order to study the robustness of this method, we tested these two univariate forecasting models with different phone products in the same time period. Table IV lists the results of the RMSE obtained for Huawei P9, iPhone 6 Plus (16 GB),
Multivariate Models

After studying and comparing univariate models, our second approach was to introduce other data to the initial model inputs. Thus, we consider the correlations between different time series in the phone market, which are due to competition, in order to improve the model’s accuracy.

Phone markets have different price ranges and the prices are volatile. We considered the products with similar price ranges from different brands and studied their influence on the Samsung Galaxy S7 (32 GB).

In fact, exploring the relations between these time series provides the model with additional information, which might be significant for regression modeling [27]. In addition to historical data from the time series describing the Samsung Galaxy S7 prices, we added data related to the Samsung Galaxy S6 (32 GB), Samsung Galaxy S6 (64 GB), iPhone 6 (16 GB), iPhone 6 Plus (16 GB), and Huawei P9.

We conducted a Granger causality study [28] to analyze the effect between the time series. The notion of causality plays an important role in the multivariate approach. We tested the causality of all the different series. For clarity, we refer to the Samsung Galaxy S6 (32 GB), Samsung Galaxy S6 (64 GB), iPhone 6 (16 GB), iPhone 6 Plus (16 GB), and Huawei P9 as A, B, C, D, E, and F, respectively, in Table III.

From these results, we can conclude that there are causal effects between the series system and the iPhone 6s (16 GB), Huawei P9, and Samsung Galaxy S7 (32 GB) variables. However, the causality is not verified for Samsung Galaxy S6 (32 GB), Samsung Galaxy S6 (64 GB), and iPhone 6 Plus (16 GB).

In addition to the Granger causality effect, we conducted a sensitivity analysis with an impulse response function in order to better understand the market price evolution.

From the result of the impulse analysis, we can observe that the Huawei P9 and iPhone 6s Plus variables have negligible effects on the targeted variable. A unitary shock on the iPhone 6 (referred in Fig. 5 by “iphone”) affects the Samsung Galaxy S7 (32 GB) (referred in Fig. 5 by “s7”) price by slightly increasing it on the third day and decreasing it on the sixth day.

### TABLE V: RESULTS OF GRANGER CAUSALITY TEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statistic</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>1.631</td>
<td>2.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causality</td>
<td>Not Verified</td>
<td>Not Verified</td>
<td>Verified</td>
<td>Not Verified</td>
<td>Verified</td>
<td>Verified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An increase in the price of the “Samsung Galaxy S6 (32 GB)” (referred in Fig. 5 by “s664”) generated an increase in the targeted variable price that lasted for 10 days. The response of the price of the Samsung Galaxy S6 (64 GB) to an impulse is a remarkably immediate decrease followed by an increase by the fourth day. Finally, the effect of the impulse on the value decreases till it cancels out completely.

These two analyses allowed us to verify the existence of effects between these time series products. For the multivariate study, a matrix with these six variables will be considered as an input to our model.

The SVR with a linear kernel multivariate model can predict the change in the peaks when the amplitude of the variation is not important (Fig. 6). However, when the changes are important (e.g., in the end of December 2016), it yields wrong predictions. We obtained a RMSE of 39.912,
which is higher than the results obtained in the univariate SVR model. The SVR model shows promising results in the univariate approach, considering the fact that additional data does not seem to improve the RMSE. The results are better when the time series are stationary.

However, by introducing additional time series in the LSTM model, we can obtain more accurate results and it reduces the RMSE of the forecast prices. In fact, in addition to decreasing the RMSE, which is 23.640, the LSTM model using 200 memory blocks is able to perfectly detect the peaks.

Furthermore, the RMSE boxplot (Fig. 8) used for evaluating errors for different time series shows that the SVR’s RMSE is remarkably higher than that of LSTM. However, the medians are very close to each other. We can conclude that the LSTM multivariate model is more accurate than the SVR one in predicting the e-commerce time series under investigation.

### TABLE VI: COMPARING MULTIVARIATE MODELS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SVR (Linear)</td>
<td>39.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>23.640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSION

Machine learning techniques are used nowadays in a variety of fields such as clustering, classification, anomalies detection, speech recognition, self-driving cars, etc. Recently, many new models are emerging and their use in the industry is affecting our lives. The use of machine learning in forecasting has also made great improvements. Achieving reasonably accurate forecasts of a time series is a very important, yet challenging task. In this work, we presented a comparison between SVR and LSTM models with regard to time series prediction considering both univariate and multivariate approaches. For the multivariate approach, we studied the causality of different phones from competing brands having similar price ranges. The experiments on e-commerce data related to the phone market show that multivariate LSTM is more accurate than multivariate SVR in predicting such time series. It yields the lowest RMSE of 23.640. However, if we do not consider external time series, the SVR model performs better than LSTM. In our future work, we will test this model on other market data, progressing toward real-time price forecasting.
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