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Abstract—In vehicle platoon, vehicles travel in the row at very short vehicle head distance by the help of the mechanical and the electric systems. The platoon is very important technique for increasing the road traffic capacity safely. The previous studies focus on the safe and stable control of the vehicle platoon. The next aim in the vehicle platoon, however, is the vehicle control of the vehicle platoon in some actual traffic cases. Merging vehicle platoons at the intersection is considered as one of the actual traffic cases in this study. Then, the aim of this study is to discuss the velocity control of the vehicles in the platoon. The velocity control model is defined as the function of the head distance and the velocity difference. The model parameters are determined by stability analysis of the velocity control model. The validity of the model is discussed in the computer simulation and the experiment of LEGO MINDSTORM NXT.

Index Terms—Helly model, robot experiment, simulation, vehicle platoon, vehicle following model.

I. INTRODUCTION

Vehicle platoon is one of the important attempts to solve the traffic congestion [1]-[3]. In the vehicle platoon, vehicles travel in the row at very small vehicle head distance and as a result, the traffic capacity of the road can be enhanced. The use of the traffic platoon attracts the great interest as the key issue to solve the traffic congestion. A recent study of the vehicle platoon is on the stable platoon of actual vehicles. The interest, in the next study, sifts to the vehicle behavior. Since the vehicle

\[ x_n(t + T) = a(x_{n-1}(t) - x_n(t)) \]  

(1)

where the variable \( x_n \) and \( \dot{x}_n \) denote the acceleration rate and the velocity of the vehicle \( n \). The variable \( T \) is the delay time of the velocity control. The sensitivity \( a \) means the response to the vehicle behavior. Since the vehicle \( n - 1 \) is the nearest forward vehicles of the vehicle \( n \), the Chandler model updates the acceleration rate according to the velocity difference \( \dot{x}_{n-1}(t) - \dot{x}_n(t) \).

2) Newell model

Newell model was presented in 1961 [6]. In this model, the velocity is defined as the function of the vehicle head distance, which is given as follows.

\[ x_n(t + T) = G_n(x_{n-1}(t) - x_n(t)) \]  

(2)

where the notation \( G_n \) is any nonlinear function of the vehicle head distance.

3) Bierly model

Bierly model was presented in 1963 [7]. In this model, the acceleration rate is defined as the linear function of the velocity difference and the vehicle head distance, which is given as follows.

\[ \dot{x}_n(t + T) = k_1(\dot{x}_{n-1}(t) - \dot{x}_n(t)) + k_2(x_{n-1}(t) - x_n(t)) \]  

(3)

where the parameter \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are the sensitivity related to the velocity difference and the sensitivity related to the vehicle head distance, respectively.

4) Helly model

Helly model was presented in 1961 [4]. In this model, the acceleration rate is defined as the linear function of the velocity difference and the vehicle head distance, which is given as follows.
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3) Bierly model
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\[ \dot{x}_n(t + T) = k_1(\dot{x}_{n-1}(t) - \dot{x}_n(t)) + k_2(x_{n-1}(t) - x_n(t)) \]  

(3)
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4) Helly model

Helly model was presented in 1961 [4]. In this model, the acceleration rate is defined as the linear function of the velocity difference and the vehicle head distance, which is given as follows.
\[ \dot{x}_n(t + T) = k_1(x_{n-1}(t) - \dot{x}_n(t)) + k_2(x_{n-1}(t) - x_n(t) - D_n(t)) \]  
(4)

where the variable \( D_n(t) \) denotes the ideal vehicle head distance.

5) Optimal velocity model

Optimal velocity model was presented in 1995 [8]. In this model, the acceleration rate is given as the function of the difference between the velocity and the optimal velocity function, which is given as follows.

\[ \dot{x}_n(t) = a(V(\Delta x_n) - \dot{x}_n(t)) \]  
(5)

where \( \Delta x_n = x_{n-1}(t) - x_n(t) \). The optimal velocity function \( V(\Delta x_n) \) is given as follows.

\[ V(\Delta x_n) = \tanh(\Delta x_n - c) + \tanh c \]  
(6)

where the parameter \( c \) is constant.

B. LEGO MINDSTORMS

LEGO MINDSTORMS NXT [9] is used in the experiment (Fig. 1). In this research, Bricx Command Center (Bricx CC) [10] provided by open source is used as an integrated development environment as a development environment of NXC programming. The programming language is a language developed and distributed as open source Not eXactly C (NXC) [11]. NXC is a language dedicated to LEGO MINDSTORMS developed by John Hansen. The syntax is similar to C, but there are a wealth of instructions to handle MINDSTORMS motors and sensors.

![Fig. 1. LEGO MINDSTORMS NXT [10].](image)

III. VEHICLE FOLLOWING MODEL AND PARAMETER DETERMINATION

A. Vehicle Following Model

1) Single-leader vehicle following Helly model

Helly presents the single-leader vehicle following model. Its acceleration rate is defined as a function of the velocity difference and the vehicle head distance between the vehicle and its nearest leader vehicle [9], which is given as

\[ \ddot{x}_n(t + T) = \alpha(\dot{x}_{n-1}(t) - \dot{x}_n(t)) + \beta[x_{n-1}(t) - x_n(t) - D_n(t)] \]  
(7)

where the parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the sensitivities from vehicle \( n \) to vehicle \( n+1 \). The parameter \( T \) is the delay time of the control. The function \( D_n(t) \) denotes the ideal vehicle head distance, which is generally defined as follows.

\[ D_n(t) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x_n(t) + \gamma_2 \dot{x}_n(t) \]  
(8)

2) Multi-Leader Vehicles Following Helly Model

When the original Helly model is extended so as to refer to multiple leader vehicles, the multi-leader vehicles following Helly model is given as

\[ \ddot{x}_n(t + T) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}[\alpha_k(\dot{x}_{n-k}(t) - \dot{x}_n(t)) + \beta_k[x_{n-k}(t) - x_n(t) - D_n(t)] \]  
(9)

where the parameters \( \alpha_k \) and \( \beta_k \) are the sensitivities from vehicle \( n \) to vehicle \( n - k \).

B. Stability Analysis

1) Single-leader vehicle following Helly model

Applying Laplace transformation to equation (7) leads to

\[ s^2V_n(s) = \alpha(V_{n-1}(s) - V_n(s)) + \beta\left[\frac{1}{s}V_{n-1}(s) - \frac{1}{s}V_n(s)\right] - \left(\frac{1}{s}\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 V_n(s) + \gamma_2 s V_n(s)\right) \]  
(10)

A Pade approximation of the function \( e^{Ts} \) is given as follows.

\[ e^{Ts} \approx \frac{T^2s^2 + 6Ts + 12}{T^2s^2 - 6Ts + 12} \]  
(11)

Substituting \( \gamma_0 = 0 \) and equation (5) into equation (4) leads to

\[ V_n(s) = G(s)V_{n-1}(s) \]  
(12)

where the transfer function \( G(s) \) is given as follows.

\[ G(s) = \frac{\alpha s + \beta}{Ds} \]  
(13)

The characteristic equation \( D(s) \) is given as follows.

\[ D(s) = a_4s^4 + a_3s^3 + a_2s^2 + a_1s + a_0 \]  
(14)

where

\[ \begin{align*}
  a_0 &= 12\beta \\
  a_1 &= 12\alpha - 6T\alpha + 12\beta \gamma_1 \\
  a_2 &= 12\beta \gamma_2 - 6T\alpha + T^2\beta - 6T\beta \gamma_1 + 12 \\
  a_3 &= 6T + T^2\alpha - 6T\beta \gamma_2 + T^2\beta \gamma_1 \\
  a_4 &= T^2 + T^2\beta \gamma_2
\end{align*} \]

Applying Hurwitz stability analysis to the characteristic equation (8) leads to the stability region of the sensitivities. For example, the parameters are specified as \( T = 0.1 \), \( \gamma_1 = 2 \) and \( \gamma_2 = 0 \) and then, the stability region of the parameters are shown in Fig. 2.

2) Multi-leader vehicles following Helly model

Applying Laplace transformation to equation (9) leads to

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{n}[\alpha_k(\dot{x}_{n-k}(s) - \dot{x}_n(s)) + \beta_k\left[\frac{1}{s}V_{n-k}(s) - \frac{1}{s}V_n(s)\right] - \left(\frac{1}{s}\gamma_{k0} + \gamma_{k1} V_n(s) + \gamma_{k2} s V_n(s)\right)] \]  
(15)

Substituting \( \gamma_{k0} = 0 \) and equation (11) into equation (15) leads to
\[ V_n(s) = G_n(s)V_{n-1}(s) \]  

where the transfer function \( G_n(s) \) is given as follows.

\[ G_n(s) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_{k}\gamma_k + \beta_k)}{\delta_n(s)} \]  

(17)

The characteristic equation \( D_n(s) \) is given as follows.

\[ D(s) = a_4s^4 + a_3s^3 + a_2s^2 + a_1s + a_0 \]  

(18)

where

\[ a_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 12\beta_k \]

\[ a_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \{12a_k - 6T\beta_k + 12\beta_k\gamma_k\} \]

\[ a_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \{12\beta_k\gamma_{k2} - 6T\alpha_k + T^2\beta_k - 6T\beta_k\gamma_{k1}\} + 12 \]

\[ a_3 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \{T^2a_k - 6T\beta_k\gamma_{k2} + T^2\beta_k\gamma_{k1}\} + 6T \]

\[ a_4 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} T^2\beta_k\gamma_{k2} + T^2 \]

IV. SIMULATION SETTING

A. Road Network and Layout of Vehicle

The road network is composed of a one-lane main road and a one-lane merging road (Fig. 4).

The initial layout of the vehicles is shown in Fig. 5. Two platoons of two vehicles travel on the main road and on the merging road, respectively. After the road junction, two platoons join into single platoon of four vehicles. The vehicles are named as Vehicle M1 and Vehicle M2 on the main road and Vehicle S1 and Vehicle S2 on the merging road, respectively. The position of the vehicle \( i \) at the time \( t \) is represented with the variable \( x_i(t) \). The initial positions of the vehicles are given as \( x_{M1}(0) = -200 \text{ [cm]} \), \( x_{M2}(0) = -280 \text{ [cm]} \), \( x_{S1}(0) = -240 \text{ [cm]} \) and \( x_{S2}(0) = -320 \text{ [cm]} \).

B. Merging Process of Vehicles

The successive merging processes of two platoons are illustrated in Fig. 6-Fig. 8.

Before merging, two platoons of two vehicles travel on each road (Fig. 6). The platoon on the main road reaches the junction first. The reference relationship of vehicles is summarized as follows (Fig. 9).

(1) \( M1 \) travels at a constant velocity.
(2) \( M2 \) travels at the velocity which is calculated from equation (1) according to \( M1 \).
(3) \( S1 \) travels at the velocity which is calculated from equation (1) according to \( M1 \).
(4) \( S2 \) travels at the velocity which is calculated from equation (2) according to both \( M2 \) and \( S1 \).

At the merging point, two platoons gather in one platoon (Fig. 7).

After merging, two platoons gather in one platoon (Fig. 8). The reference relationship of vehicles is summarized as follows (Fig. 10).

(1) \( M1 \) travels at a constant velocity.
(2) \( M2 \) travels at the velocity which is calculated from equation (2) according to both \( M1 \) and \( S1 \).
(3) \( S1 \) travels at the velocity which is calculated from equation (1) according to \( M1 \).
S2 travels at the velocity at the velocity which is calculated from equation (2) according to both M1 and M2.

C. Sensitivity

The sensitivity needs to be selected so that the acceleration calculated by each following model does not exceed 77.1 [cm/s²], which is the acceleration limit of the actual machine. The sensitivities in each case are shown in Table I and Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I: Single-Leader Vehicle Following Helly Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α + β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II: Multi-Leader Vehicle Following Helly Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Σ²k=1 (αk + βk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. EXPERIMENT RESULT

Each vehicle is given as an initial position, and the movement distance is calculated based on the value of the motor’s rotation sensor. With the junction as the origin, the current position of the vehicle is estimated. Vehicle distance to the other vehicle ahead is estimated by the infrared sensor. The other vehicle velocity is obtained via bluetooth communication between vehicles. When a car traveling on a merging lane refers to a car traveling on a main line, the vehicle head distance is calculated by the difference between the positions of each vehicle viewed from the merging point. The control cycle in the experiment is about 0.12 to 0.14 [s]. The total lengths of the main road and the merging road are 700 cm and 350 cm, respectively.

The course is made with wooden boards, and NXT travels on the road with the grooves between the boards as a guide. Velocity and acceleration of each vehicle are simulated and then, the experimental results of vehicle M1 are compared with the computer simulation results.

When the sum of sensitivities Σ²k=1 (αk + βk) is equal to 0.5 and 1.0, the simulation results are shown in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, respectively. Figures are plotted with time as the horizontal axis and position [cm], velocity [cm/s²] and acceleration [cm/s²] as the vertical axis, respectively. A blue, a red, a green and a purple line denotes the results of vehicle M1, M2, S1 and S2, respectively. The position in case of Σ²k=1 (αk + βk) = 0.5 is similar to that in case of Σ²k=1 (αk + βk) = 0.5. The velocity recovery is defined as the reduction to 15 m/s from the velocity increase. The comparison of the velocity fluctuations in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 shows that the velocity recovery time in Fig. 12 is shorter than that in Fig. 11. This is because the maximum acceleration rate in Σ²k=1 (αk + βk) = 0.5 is smaller than that in Σ²k=1 (αk + βk) = 1.0.

Next, the validity of the model is discussed in the experiments of LEGO MINDSTORMS NXT. The experiment view is shown in Fig. 13.
The experiment results are shown in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15. The vertical axis of each figure represents the position [cm], the velocity [cm/s] and the acceleration [cm/s²] of each vehicle, and the horizontal axis represents time [s]. A blue, a red, a green and a purple lines denote the results of vehicle M1, M2, S1 and S2, respectively. The large fluctuations in velocity and acceleration are observed in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15. The noise in the velocity and acceleration rate arises from the friction between the vehicle and the experiment course, the measurement error of the ultrasonic sensor and so on.

The mean square error (RMSE) between the experiment and the simulation results are estimated from time 0 [s] to time 30.0 [s], which are hown in Table III. RMSE is calculated by

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k - y_k)^2 / n}
\]  

(19)

where \(x_k\) is the measured value, and \(y_k\) is the calculated value based on the measured value.

The RMSE of acceleration when the sum of sensitivities is 0.5 is smaller than when it is 1.0, but there is no significant difference in RMSE of velocity and position.

When comparing the vehicles, the RMSE of the vehicle S1 is two times larger than them of the vehicle M2 and S2. This is because the acceleration of LEGO MINDSTORM NXT in the experiment is smaller than the theoretical acceleration of the computer simulation.
Figure 15: Experimental results in case of $\sum_{k=1}^{2} (\alpha_k + \beta_k) = 1.0$.

### TABLE III: COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTAL AND SIMULATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VI. CONCLUSION

The vehicle platoon is very important technique for increasing the traffic capacity of road network safely. The previous studies focus on the safe and stable control of the vehicle platoon. The next study in the vehicle platoon is the vehicle platoon in some actual traffic cases. Merging vehicle platoons at the intersection is one of the actual traffic cases. The aim of this study is to discuss the velocity control of the vehicles in the platoon. The velocity control model is defined by means of a Helly model and its extension to two-leader vehicles following Helly model. The model is applied for the computer simulation and the experiment using LEGO MINDSTORMS NXT. The difference between the simulation and the experiment is estimated by the mean square error in two cases that the summation of sensitivities is 0.5 or 1.0. Finally, it is conclude that 0.5 is better than 1.0 for the summation of the sensitivities.
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