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Abstract—A well-known polynomial-based \((k, n)\) secret image sharing (SIS) scheme is to share a secret image into \(n\) noise-like shadow images, and the secret image can be recovered from any \(k\) shadow images. In this polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme, the pixels of the secret image should be permuted to achieve the randomness of shadow images. If we do not permute secret image, there will be a problem of remanent secret image on shadow images. However, if we use a key to permute secret image then we need keeping this permutation key in advance or sharing it among all participants. In this paper, we adopt Reed Solomon code, a maximum distance separable code, to propose a \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme. Our \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme solves the problem of remanent secret image on shadows, and does not need permuting secret image. Meantime, we can reduce the shadow size like polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS that reduces shadow size to \(1/k\) of secret image size.

Index Terms—Secret sharing, secret image sharing, Reed Solomon (RS) code, maximum distance separable (MDS) code.

I. INTRODUCTION

Secret image sharing (SIS) combines methods and techniques from cryptography and image processing. So, it is an important research area and has attracted researchers in multimedia community. A SIS scheme shares a secret message into shadow images, which is referred to as shadows, in the way that if shadows are combined in a specific way, the secret image can be recovered. SIS scheme is usually implemented as a threshold \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme, where \(k \leq n\), that divides a secret image into \(n\) shadows. By collecting any \(k\) shadows, we can reconstruct the secret image, but use of \((k-1)\) or fewer shadows will not gain any information about the secret image.

There are two major types of SIS scheme: one is the visual cryptography (VC) and the other is the polynomial-based SIS scheme. VC has the novel stacking-to-see property where decoding requires neither knowledge of cryptography nor computer. Participants may photocopy their shared images onto transparencies and stack them to visually decode the secret through human visual system. Contrarily, the reconstructed image of polynomial-based SIS scheme is lossless, but it needs computation (Lagrange interpolation). More details of VC and polynomial-based SIS scheme, readers can refer to the book [1]. A new type of SIS scheme combining VC and polynomial-based SIS scheme with two decoding options was introduced [2]-[4]. In such scheme, one can decode secret image for preview by stacking shadows like VC when a computer is temporarily unavailable. When the computer is available during the decoding scene, we can recover the high-quality image back by using polynomial-based SIS approach.

Shamir [5] proposed a novel \((k, n)\) secret sharing to hide a secret data in the constant term of a \((k-1)\)-degree polynomial. Through Shamir’s secret sharing, Thien and Lin [6] firstly proposed a polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme by embedding secret pixels into all coefficients in polynomial to share the secret image and meantime reduced shadow size to \(1/k\) of secret image size. Shadows in [6] are noise-like and thus suspected to censorship. Therefore, some polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS schemes were proposed using steganography so that shadows reveal meaningful images. When adding the authentication ability to detect the manipulation of shadows, this scheme is called a \((k, n)\) steganographic and authenticated image sharing (SAIS) scheme. Based on polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme, some \((k, n)\)-SAIS schemes were proposed accordingly [7]-[11]. These \((k, n)\)-SAIS schemes can verify the correctness of shadows to prevent accidentally generating error shadows or intentionally presenting faked shadows. Some polynomial-based SIS schemes combined with progressive recovery ability were proposed [12]-[15] to provide wide applications. Also, in [16], the authors discussed a \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme with different importance of shadows.

From the above description, there are many researches on polynomial-based SIS scheme, and all these schemes are based on the first polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme (Thien and Lin’s \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme). However, this polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme needs a key to permute the pixels of secret image. If we do not permute secret image first, there will be a problem of remanent secret image on shadows.

In this paper, we adopt Reed Solomon (RS) code, a maximum distance separable (MDS) code, to propose a \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme to solve the problem of remanent secret image on shadows. Polynomial-based SIS scheme needs a key to permute pixels in secret image before sharing, while our \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme does not need such permutation. Meantime, our scheme can reduce the shadow size like polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS that reduces shadow size to \(1/k\) of secret image size.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce the polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme and the notion of RS code. We introduce motivation and propose a RS code based \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme in Section III. Experiment and discussion are given in Section IV. Conclusion is drawn out in Section V.
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II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Polynomial-Based \((k, n)\)-SIS Scheme

Shamir firstly proposed polynomial-based \((k, n)\) secret sharing that hides one secret data in the constant term \(a_0\) of a \((k-1)\)-degree polynomial \(f(x) = (a_0 + a_1x + \ldots + a_{k-1}x^{k-1}) \mod p\), where \(p\) is a prime number. By using \(i\in[1, n]\), a dealer can generate \(n\) shadows as \(S_i = (i, f(i)), 1\leq i\leq n\). Any \(k\) shadows (say \(S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k\)) can jointly reconstruct this \((k-1)\)-degree polynomial \(f(x)\) following Lagrange interpolation formula (see Eq. (1)), and the secret data can be derived from \(f(0) = a_0\).

\[
f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f(i) \prod_{j\neq i} \left( \frac{x-j}{i-j} \right) \mod p. \quad (1)
\]

With this \((k-1)\)-degree polynomial, Thien and Lin [6] embedded secret pixels into all coefficients in \(f(x)\). This polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme is briefly described below. We first divide a secret image into \(n\) non-overlapping \(k\)-pixel blocks, and let \(f(x)\) be \((0, 1, \ldots, k)\) block includes the secret pixels \((s_{j_1}, s_{j_2}, \ldots, s_{j_k})\). The \((k-1)\)-degree polynomial \(f(x) = s_0 + s_1x + \ldots + s_{k-1}x^{k-1} \mod p\) represents a shadow pixel associated with this \(j\)-th block, where \(x\) is an image ID. By choosing \(n\) shadow IDs, \(i\in[1, n]\), we then obtain \(n\) shadow pixels \(f(i)\). We repeat this process for all \(\tau\) blocks and generate \(\tau\) shadows. Obviously, the shadow size will be reduced to \(1/k\) of the size of the secret image since we embed \(k\) secret pixels to one shadow pixel each time.

For reconstruction, the polynomial \(f(x)\) can be reconstructed from any \(k\) shadow pixels so that we can recover the secret image. Here, we use the Galois Field \(GF(2^8)\) to embed 256 greyscales in a secret image without distortion. Some polynomial-based SIS schemes adopt an ordinary arithmetic operation (i.e., \(\mod p\), where \(p = 251\)) for simple calculation. However, under \(\mod 251\), the gray-scale values more than 250 should be truncated to 250 and this causes distortion. In this paper, we use the finite field \(GF(2^8)\) for this polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme. Also, our RS code based \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme is designed over \(GF(2^8)\). Finally, both schemes can recover the lossless secret image.

B. Reed-Solomon Code

RS code is a special subclass of nonbinary BCH code. Codes of \(q\)-ary BCH codes for which \(n=1\) are called RS codes [17]. RS codes have been widely applied on digital communication and storage systems for error control. Let \(\alpha\) be a primitive element in \(GF(q)\). The generator polynomial \(g(x)\) of a \(t\)-error-correcting RS code has \(\alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{2t}\) as all its roots, as shown in Eq. (2), where all elements \(g_i\in GF(q)\).

\[
g(x) = (x-\alpha)(x-\alpha^2)\cdots(x-\alpha^{2t}) \equiv g_0 + g_1 x + g_2 x^2 + \ldots + g_{2t-1} x^{2t-1} + g_{2t} x^{2t} \quad \text{note: } g_{2t} = 1. \quad (2)
\]

Same as BCH codes, from \(g(x)\), we have minimum Hamming distance \(d_{\min} = (2t+1)\). Since RS code is \(q\)-ary BCH codes with \(m=1\). So its code length is \(n=\phi(q-1)\), information length \(k = (n-2t)\), and \(d_{\min} = (2t+1)\). Notice that since \(d_{\min} = (2t+1) = (n-k+1)\), the value of \(d_{\min}\) is one greater than the number of parity-check symbols. Therefore, RS codes are also called MDS codes. Another important feature of RS code is \(n = \phi(q-1)\) that the length of the code is one less than the size of the code alphabet.

The proposed \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme is based on systematic RS code. A systematic structure of code is that a codeword is divided into two parts, the message part and the redundant checking part. For example, for a systematic \((n, k)\)-RS code, the message part has \(k\) unaltered symbols, and the redundant checking part consists of \((n-k)\) symbols, which are the linear sums of \(k\) information symbols. The following shows how to transform \(g(x)\) to a systematic generator \((k\times n)\) matrix \(G\). By Eq. (2), we have \((g_0, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{2t})\), and then put them into a rectangular array with \(k\) rows and \(n\) columns, as shown in Eq. (3).

\[
G' = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & g_0 & g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_{2t} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & g_0 & g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_{2t} \\
. & . & . & \cdots & . & . & . & . & \cdots & . \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & g_0 & g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_{2t} \\
\end{bmatrix} \
\text{In general, } G' \text{ is not a systematic form. We can transfer it into a systematic form with some matrix operations. Finally, we have a matrix in systematic form } G = [I_k|P], \text{ where } I_k \text{ is a } k\times k \text{ unit matrix, and } P \text{ is } k\times(n-k) \text{ parity matrix. Let } k\text{-tuple } u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-k}) \text{ be the message to be encoded, and the } (n-k)\text{-tuple } v = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-k-1}) \text{ be parity digits. Then, the output codeword } (u|v) = u \otimes v \otimes G.
\]

In the proposed SIS scheme, we need RS code with some specific information length and code length. Therefore, if a code of suitable code length and suitable number of information digits cannot be found, it may be desirable to shorten a code to meet the requirements. A so-called shortened \((n-l, k-l)\)-RS code has at least the same error-correcting capability as the \((n, k)\)-RS code. In shortened \((n-l, k-l)\)-RS code, information symbols are deleted to obtain a desired code length and information length smaller than the design lengths.

III. THE PROPOSED SIS SCHEMES

A. Motivation

In the following example, we will show the shadows without permuting pixels for the polynomial-based \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme.

**Example 1:** Construct the polynomial-based \((2, 4)\)-SIS scheme without permuting pixels in secret image.

Suppose that we take \(1, 2, 3\) and \(4\) as the image IDs for four shadows \(S_1\) to \(S_4\), and that we use the finite field \(GF(2^8)\). Four secret images \((512 \times 512\) pixel Lena, Baboon, Pepper, and Boat, as shown in Fig. 1) are used for testing the randomness of shadows. After applying polynomial-based \((2, 4)\)-SIS
scheme, we have four 512×256-pixel shadows, for each secret image (see Fig. 2). In Fig. 2, it is observed that the secret image still remains on shadows, and this compromises the security. Actually, this appearance of shadow comes from the reason that small IDs used in $x^0, x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^{2^4-1}$ do not differ greatly. Even though some shadows using other IDs do not reveal the secret, many shadows are not completely noise-like. Some visible edges are revealed, and this is more serious (the secret image still remains on shadows) for small IDs. Finally, this causes that only some specific image IDs can be used.

Fig. 1. Four secret images used in (2, 4)-SIS scheme: (a) Lena (b) Baboon (c) Pepper (d) Boat.

Fig. 2. Four shadows of (2, 4)-SIS scheme without permuting pixels in secret images: (a) Lena (b) Baboon (c) Pepper (d) Boat.

Therefore, in polynomial-based $(k, n)$-SIS scheme, a key is required to permute pixels in secret image, so that we can assure shadows of complete randomness and no secret revealed. In [6], the authors claimed that the key can be kept by system owner or shared among the owners of shadow images. In this paper, by using $(n+k, k)$-RS code over finite field $GF(2^8)$ instead of polynomial, we propose a $(k, n)$-SIS scheme without secret image remained on shadows.

B. The Proposed $(k, n)$-SIS Scheme Using RS code

The proposed $(k, n)$-SIS scheme is based on systematic RS code. A systematic RS cod consists of two parts, the message part and the redundant checking part. Since the message part in a systematic code is unaltered information, we embed the secret pixels in this message part. On the other hand, the redundant checking part is the linear sums of the message part, and thus we use them for shadows. Details of shadow generation and secret reconstruction for our $(k, n)$-SIS scheme are outlined in Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2, respectively.

Algorithm 1: Shadow generation of the proposed $(k, n)$-SIS scheme.

**Input:** A secret image $I$, a $(n+k, k)$-RS code over finite field $GF(2^8)$, and the systematic generator matrix $G$ of RS code.

**Output:** $n$ shadows $S_1-S_n$.

1. The secret image $I$ is divided into $\tau$ non-overlapping $k$-pixel blocks, and every $i$-th $(0 \leq i \leq \tau-1)$ block is a $k$-tuple $(p_{ik}, p_{ik+1}, \ldots, p_{ik+k-1})$, where every pixel is the element in $GF(2^8)$.
2. Let $G[I_i]$ be the systematic generator matrix generated from $g(x)$, where $I_i$ is a $k \times k$ unit matrix and $P$ is a $k \times n$ parity matrix.
3. $G$ is publicly announce */
4. For $i = 0$ to $\tau-1$ do 
   \[
   S_i = \{s_{ik+j} \parallel s_{ik+j+1} \parallel \ldots \parallel s_{ik+j+n-1}\}.
   \]
5. $\parallel$ the operation $\parallel$ is to concatenate the shared pixels in one shadow for constructing $n$ shadows */

Algorithm 2: Secret reconstruction of the proposed $(k, n)$-SIS scheme.

**Input:** Input any $k$ shadows out of $n$ shadows.

**Output:** the secret image $I$.

1. Input any $k$ shadows (say $S_1, \ldots, S_k$) for reconstruction.
2. Find the sub $k \times k$ matrix $G'$ from public matrix $G$.
3. Obtain all pixels $(s_{ik}, \ldots, s_{ik+n-1})$ from $k$ shadows involved in reconstruction.
5. For $i = 0$ to $\tau-1$ do 
   \[
   (p_{ik}, \ldots, p_{ik+k-1}) = (s_{ik}, \ldots, s_{ik+n-1}) \times [G']^{-1}.
   \]
6. Reconstruct the secret image $I$ by restoring $\tau$ non-overlapping blocks.

**Theorem 1:** The proposed scheme is a $(k, n)$-SIS scheme.

**Proof:** To prove our scheme is a $(k, n)$-SIS scheme, we need to prove the proposed scheme satisfying two conditions: (i) the security condition that any less than $k$ shadows cannot recover any secret information (ii) the threshold property that any $k$ or more shadows can recover the secret image. We first prove the security condition.

Let a $k$-tuple in $\tau$ non-overlapping secret blocks be $y = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1})$, and its corresponding shared $n$-tuple in $n$ shadows be $z = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$, respectively, where $(u_0 | \parallel u_1 | \parallel \ldots | \parallel u_{k-1}) \equiv y \times G$. If we can prove that any $(k-1)$ elements $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1})$ from $y$ cannot be used to recover the secret $y = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1})$, then the security condition is satisfied. The generator $G[I_i]P$ is a $k(k+n)$ matrix with the parity matrix $P$ as shown below

\[
P = \begin{bmatrix}
P_{0,0} & P_{0,1} & \ldots & P_{0,n-1} \\
P_{1,0} & P_{1,1} & \ldots & P_{1,n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
P_{k-1,0} & P_{k-1,1} & \ldots & P_{k-1,n-1}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Then, from $(u_0 | \parallel u_1 | \parallel \ldots | \parallel u_{k-1}) \equiv y \times G$, we have
From Eq. (5), we have \((k-1)\) linear equations with \(k\) unknowns. Thus, we cannot determine the secret \((u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1})\).

Next, we prove that the threshold property. By the same argument, if we have \(k\) or more elements \((v_i, v_j, \ldots, v_0)\), where \(j \geq k\), we will have \(k\) or more linear equations to correctly determine the secret \((u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1})\).

**Lemma 1:** Suppose using \((n', k')\)-RS code to construct the proposed \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme, and we should have \(\min(k', \kappa) \geq 2k\) and \((n'-k')\geq n\).

**Proof:** As shown in Algorithm 1, we can use \((n+k, k)\)-RS code to construct our \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme. When applying a \((n', k')\)-RS code in our scheme, we need to shorten the \((n', k')\)-RS code to \((n'-l, k'-l)\)-RS code with \(k'-l\), so that the threshold property is satisfied. Therefore, we have \(k' \geq 2k\). For this shortened \((n'-l, k'-l)\)-RS code, we can create at most \((n'-k)\) shadows. Obviously, we may choose any \(n\) shadows out of \((n'-k)\) shadows to construct a \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme. Thus, we have \((n'-k)\geq n\). Since \(n \geq k\) in the \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme, so \(\min(k', (n'-k)) \geq 2k\).

**Example 2:**Apply the four-error-correcting \((255, 247)\)-RS code over \(GF(2^5)\) with the primitive polynomial \(1 + x^3 + x^4\) to implement the proposed \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme.

From Lemma 1, this \((255, 247)\)-RS code can be used to construct \((k, n)\)-SIS scheme, where \(k \leq \min\{257, 8\} = 8\) and \(n \leq 8\). So, we can use \((255, 247)\)-RS code to construct the \((k, 8)\)-SIS scheme, where \(2 \leq k \leq 8\). By deleting 243 symbols from \((255, 247)\)-RS code, we have a shortened \((12, 4)\)-RS code. Let \(\alpha\) be a primitive element in \(GF(2^5)\). Then, the generator polynomial \(g(x)\) of \((12, 4)\)-RS code has \(\alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \alpha^4, \alpha^5\) as its roots; hence we have

\[
g(x) = (x + \alpha) (x + \alpha^2) (x + \alpha^3) (x + \alpha^4) (x + \alpha^5)
\]

\[
\{ (x + \alpha^k) (x + \alpha^k) (x + \alpha^k) = \alpha^{36} + \alpha^{203} x + \alpha^{173} x^2 + \alpha^{220} x^3 + \alpha^{231} x^4 + \alpha^{240} x^5 + \alpha^{176} x^6 + x^7 \}.
\]  

The systematic generator matrix \(G\) is derived in Eq. (7).

\[
G = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha^{36} & \alpha^{203} & \alpha^{231} & \alpha^{240} & \alpha^{176} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha^{203} & \alpha^{220} & \alpha^{211} & \alpha^{231} & \alpha^{240} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha^{203} & \alpha^{220} & \alpha^{211} & \alpha^{231} & \alpha^{240} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{203} & \alpha^{220} & \alpha^{211} & \alpha^{231} & \alpha^{240} & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{203} & \alpha^{220} & \alpha^{211} & \alpha^{231} & \alpha^{240} \\
1 & \alpha^{100} & \alpha^{222} & \alpha^{211} & \alpha^{231} & \alpha^{240} & \alpha^{176} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{100} & \alpha^{222} & \alpha^{211} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{100} & \alpha^{222} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{100} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{(6)}
\]

The proposed \((4, 8)\)-SIS scheme can be constructed from the shortened \((12, 4)\)-RS code. Suppose one 4-pixel secret block is \(u = (5, 2, 7, 10)\), and then we have \((u_i) = u + G\). The values of \(u = (183, 207, 137, 61, 125, 137, 186)\). Repeat processing all non-overlapping 4-pixel blocks. Finally, we can generate 8 shadows. In Polynomial-based SIS scheme, every shadow has its own image ID. The proposed scheme also needs an image ID for each shadow, i.e., we deliver the \(i\)-th shadow value in \(v\) to the shadow \(S_i\), \(1 \leq i \leq 8\). For example, we may deliver the third shared value “137” in \(v\) to the shadow \(S_3\). For reconstruction, suppose that 4 shadows \(\{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\}\) are involved in recovering the secret. Here, we show how to recover a 4-pixel secret block. From shadows, we have 4 shadow values \((183, 161, 60, 186)\). We first find the inverse matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
228 & 19 & 219 & 11 \\
90 & 227 & 216 & 25 \\
122 & 232 & 162 & 92 \\
126 & 155 & 258 & 86 \\
\end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
239 & 50 & 24 & 99 \\
35 & 94 & 53 & 220 \\
222 & 173 & 225 & 173 \\
143 & 226 & 126 & 141 \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{(7)}
\]

By Eq. (8), we can determine the secret \(u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = (5, 2, 7, 10)\).

In Table I, we show \(g(x)\) and \(G\) for four shortened \((n', k')\)-RS codes, on which four \((k, n)\)-SIS schemes \((2, 4), (3, 5), (4, 10), (5, 12)\)-SIS scheme are constructed.

### Table I: \((k, n)\)-SIS Schemes Based on \((k', n')\)-Shortened Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(n')</th>
<th>(k')</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(k)</th>
<th>(G)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>((x^{10})x^{13}x^{17}(x^{19}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>((x^{10})x^{13}x^{17}(x^{19}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>((x^{10})x^{13}x^{17}(x^{20}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>((x^{10})x^{16}x^{20}(x^{19}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. EXPERIMENT AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Experimental Results

We conduct an experiment to test the randomness of shadows. The proposed \((2, 4)\)-SIS scheme based on shortened \((8, 2)\)-RS code with the systematic generator matrix \(G\) in Eq. (8) (from Table I). This \((8, 2)\)-RS code can be used to construct \((2, n)\), where \(2 \leq n \leq 6\). To compare polynomial-based \((2, 4)\)-SIS scheme in Example 1, we construct \((2, 4)\)-SIS scheme using the first four columns of matrix \(P\) in the following \(G\) matrix.

\[
G = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 195 & 170 & 190 & 143 & 241 & 66 \\
0 & 1 & 230 & 125 & 248 & 203 & 154 & 251 \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{(8)}
\]

Suppose that Lena in Fig. (a) is used as the secret image, Fig. 3 shows four shadows \(\{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\}\) with the size \(512 \times 512\).
propose a sharing procedure. In this paper, we solve this problem and trivial solution that permutes pixels in secret image before coefficients of (remanent secret images on shadows comes from small IDs information of secret but also the information of key. Again. Then, each shadow contains not only the shared participant or shared among all participants by using secret owners of shadows. Thus, the key is either delivered to each i.e., only secret sharing scheme should provide the threshold property, This is, strictly speaking, not a secret sharing scheme. A (the system owner should be involved in reconstruction phase. This is, strictly speaking, not a secret sharing scheme. A (k, n) secret sharing scheme should provide the threshold property, i.e., only k shadows are required for reconstructing the secret. The second approach is that the key is shared among the owners of shadows. Thus, the key is either delivered to each participant or shared among all participants by using secret sharing again. If the dealer delivers this key to all participants, then an extra key distribution protocol is needed. Certainly, the dealer can share the permutation key by secret sharing again. Then, each shadow contains not only the shared information of secret but also the information of key.

In polynomial based (k, n)-SIS scheme, in fact, the problem of remanent secret images on shadows comes from small IDs used in x, x', x'', ..., and x^k (note: we embed secret pixels in coefficients of (k−1)-degree polynomial) do not differ greatly. In this paper, our (k, n)-SIS scheme uses RS code and does not use the polynomial. Although our scheme also needs the image IDs, i.e., which column we use for shadow, this image ID is not involved in calculating the shadow values. Thus, we do not need permuting secret image to prevent the problem of remanent secrete image.

V. CONCLUSION

We consider how to solve the problem of remanent secret image on shadows. Polynomial-based SIS scheme adopts a trivial solution that permutes pixels in secret image before sharing procedure. In this paper, we solve this problem and propose a (k, n)-SIS scheme by using (n+k, k)-RS code. Our (k, n)-SIS scheme can achieve the threshold property, and meantime reduces the shadow size like polynomial-based (k, n)-SIS that reduces shadow size to 1/k of secret image size.
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