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Abstract—Electro-dermal response of any bio-medical system is the change in electrical properties of skin due to variation in physiological and psychological conditions. The change is caused by the degree to which a person’s sweat glands are active. Psychological status of a person tends to make the glands active and this change the skin resistance. Drier the skin is, higher will be the skin resistance. This variation in skin resistance ranges from 5kΩ to 25kΩ. In the current work, a subject whose Galvanic skin response (GSR) is to be measured, has been shown/played a movie clipping, images or recorded audio signals. Depending upon the theme, emotion will be evoked in the subject. Due to the change in emotion, GSR varies. This variation in GSR is recorded for a fixed time interval. In the present work, a total of 75 subjects are selected. People from different age groups and social background, both male and female have been carefully considered. Data acquisition and analysis is carried out by using LabVIEW. The results obtained are convincing and follows the ground reality with a high level of accuracy. As the subject also gives the feedback about the emotions he/she experienced, the results obtained are validated.

Index Terms—EDR, emotion, GSR, LabVIEW, stimulus, TDM.

I. INTRODUCTION

Without emotions, life is experienced as having little meaning or purpose, and the pleasures that are derived from rewarding experiences are considerably reduced. Emotionless actions are often related to machines, which execute a sequence of pre-programmed commands. While this common-place perception of the role emotions play in everyday life is pertinent as it is somewhat limited in scope.

The Galvanic Skin Response (GSR) is one of several electro-dermal responses (EDR). EDR is actually the medically preferred term for change of electrical skin resistance due to psychological condition. EDRs are changes in the electrical properties of a person’s skin caused by an interaction between environmental events and the individual’s psychological state. The change is caused by the degree to which a person’s sweat glands are active. Psychological stress tends to make the glands more active and this lowers the skin’s resistance. Our physical body and mind are interactive. Physical disease may cause mental disorders and mental discomfort may have adverse influence on physical health. When a person is subjected to stress beyond what he or she can withstand, physical or mental disorders may therefore develop. The mechanism is that the stress, when our brain senses it, may cause dysfunction of our automatic nervous system, endocrine system and immune system via the effect of neurological pathways. In clinical practice, biofeedback machine is used to find a way to detect an emotional tension caused by stress.

II. STIMULUS FOR EMOTIONS

Emotional content can modify and update the goals and consequently alter the direction of attention to the presented stimuli. Emotions and goals are strongly intertwined in the sense that the immediate relevance of any stimulus to a goal defines the emotionality of the stimulus. The relationship between emotions and the personal goals and concerns of individuals is often suggested to be the basis for emotion elicitation and differentiation by appraisal theorists. For instance the emotional tag of fear can be attached to a threatening stimulus in so far as the latter can potentially impede the goal of survival. Another example is the emotional tag of happiness that can be assigned to any stimulus that advances the goal of well-being. In a similar fashion numerous emotional tags can be given to stimuli that promote or hinder the attainment of goals ranging from basic individual survival goals to more complex social interaction goals. This vast range of emotions and the related goals is not likely to have been formed concurrently. Rather, emotions evolved from very simple mechanisms that ensured harm avoidance and attainment of vital physical resources into more complex mechanisms that guide complex social behavior. This evolution of emotions may in fact be reflected in the brain systems that generate them, with emotions linked to survival arising from evolutionarily old brain systems. Thus the more primitive emotions would be expected to be elicited by more primitive aspect of the environment, and only at higher levels of evolution would complex classification of stimuli have related emotions associated with them [1].

Fig. 1 illustrates the system, for measuring Galvanic Skin Response (GSR). The subject under analysis is made to execute a sequence of pre-programmed commands. While this common-place perception of the role emotions play in everyday life is pertinent as it is somewhat limited in scope.

III. EXPERIMENTS

Many experiments have been conducted by researchers in...
search of universal physiological patterns, specific to basic emotions. Those studies concentrated mainly on activities of the autonomous nervous system (ANS) and characteristic speech signal changes. ANS related studies [2] showed very interesting results each on its own, but until now no distinct patterns for the six basic emotions could be found that all agree on. The results of the studies are controversial and the variables measured do not seem to allow clear distinction between different emotions.

Some stable results could be found for variables that seem to characterize certain basic emotions, especially fear and anger, which are the two that previous literature have focused on mostly [3]. The work on ANS studies resulted in the following commonalities [4]. For fear: increase of heart rate, skin conductance level and systolic blood pressure; for anger: increase of heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Measuring sadness seems to be more difficult. Because of this, the results are not as clear as for fear and anger. In paper [5], Erickson K. and Schulkin J. have found a decrease in heart rate, while paper [7] reported an increase of heart rate, while paper [8] could not find any significant difference to the measured baseline. Also, measuring positive emotions such as happiness seems to be very troublesome as can be observed especially in diastolic and systolic blood pressure and heart rate data [9], which can show a sign of decrease to even a strong increase. Papers [6] [10] [11] and [12] relates emotion by and large to the facial expressions. Disgust seems to represent an even greater problem. It is assessed by four out of the 13 studies. The results are not very promising.

The electrodes corresponding to the GSR sensor is fastened tightly to the index finger and the middle finger so as to minimize the motion artifact. It is accurate and hand held which can be used without any in-convenience to the subject. The GSR meter switches on automatically when it senses finger contact. Sensitivity control is provided to vary the response of the instrument to changes in skin conductivity as shown in Fig. 2. It produces the audio output frequency in the range of 0 to 15 kHz corresponding to the skin resistance of 1K to 3.5M. Video clips are played for about six minutes and the values are recorded using the LabVIEW data acquisition add on card as shown in Fig. 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Video source</th>
<th>Emotion stimulated by the movie clip</th>
<th>Theme of the movie clip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kopfschlagen</td>
<td>Happy</td>
<td>Funny scene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funny Kids</td>
<td>Happy</td>
<td>Cute accidents of kids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazing dance</td>
<td>Surprise</td>
<td>Dancing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic cannon</td>
<td>Surprise</td>
<td>Destruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake eat hippopotamus</td>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>Snake eat hippopotamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong turn</td>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>Cutting a human body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See no evil</td>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>Plucking eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>Dissection of skull</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. IMPLEMENTATION

The whole signal acquisition and analysis of emotions are carried out by using the graphical programming language LabVIEW. Flowchart shown in Fig. 2, depict the stages involved in physiological data acquisition and analysis respectively. The steps involved are as follows.

1) Connect the LabVIEW 48 channel analog and digital IO card to the USB port of the computer.
2) Configure channel1 as analog input channel A11.
3) Connect channel A11 to Galvanic Skin Response meter output.
4) Read data from channel AI1 continuously after 120 seconds, while playing the stimulation input for 300 seconds. The read data will be automatically stored in a Technical Data Management (TDM) file.

5) Convert TDM file into spreadsheet so that it can be stored as a database and accessed for further calculation and data processing.

6) Determine the maximum and minimum values and compute the average value.

7) Construct an array of average values for all subjects and store it in the spread sheet.

8) Connect the input of the sensor to the test subject, acquire data, find minimum & maximum values and compute average value.

9) Compute the Manhattan or city block distance using equation (1), which is the equation for $L_p$ Norm.

$$D(X, X') = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d} |X_k - X'_k|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $q = 1$ and $d =$ number of samples

10) Display one of the emotion index shown in Table II, for which Manhattan distance is minimum.

V. RESULTS

The changes in emotions under some stress are measured by considering the parameter: skin resistance. Skin resistance is obtained in terms of both frequency and voltage units. For the parameter, we are considering different emotion conditions such as neutral, fear, happy, disgust and surprise. Data is acquired and analyzed separately for both adult men and women from different age and background. A total of 75 subjects were considered for data collection. Out of the many stimulating video, the most effective shown in Table I are selected for final data collection. Data corresponding to GSR is tabulated in Table III. The duration of physiological signal acquisition of each emotion is 5-6 minutes. Graphs for the distribution of feature values for GSR corresponding to two sample emotions are shown in Fig. 3(a) and 3(b).

![Fig. 3. (a) Neutral](image)

![Fig. 3. (b) Fear](image)

Fig. 3. Plots for the distribution of feature values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Fear</th>
<th>Disgust</th>
<th>Happy</th>
<th>Surprise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Changappa</td>
<td>81.62</td>
<td>85.57</td>
<td>78.42</td>
<td>78.54</td>
<td>77.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Krishnamurthy</td>
<td>79.27</td>
<td>78.78</td>
<td>80.72</td>
<td>80.81</td>
<td>80.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Krishnappa</td>
<td>79.80</td>
<td>79.28</td>
<td>78.29</td>
<td>82.06</td>
<td>80.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Jayaram</td>
<td>81.61</td>
<td>79.89</td>
<td>79.85</td>
<td>79.69</td>
<td>78.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Revanna</td>
<td>81.43</td>
<td>80.50</td>
<td>79.62</td>
<td>79.56</td>
<td>78.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Mahesh</td>
<td>81.09</td>
<td>79.29</td>
<td>79.22</td>
<td>79.84</td>
<td>80.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Shridhar</td>
<td>80.66</td>
<td>80.27</td>
<td>77.38</td>
<td>81.15</td>
<td>80.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Rangappa</td>
<td>80.61</td>
<td>79.95</td>
<td>80.36</td>
<td>79.35</td>
<td>79.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Swamy</td>
<td>83.43</td>
<td>82.22</td>
<td>76.50</td>
<td>80.16</td>
<td>77.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Siddanna</td>
<td>81.19</td>
<td>80.78</td>
<td>78.16</td>
<td>79.39</td>
<td>80.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>81.07</td>
<td>80.65</td>
<td>78.85</td>
<td>80.05</td>
<td>79.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The physiological status of a person is not always same; it changes according to time and the situations. We found that the result obtained by our system almost follows ground reality. However there is a small deviation which can be attributed to the subject under test and changing state of emotions due to external factors even though we tried to keep them at minimum. The new approach introduced in this paper provides a means for structuring, representing and processing emotions within a system without compromising the ambiguous nature of emotion. It does not claim to answer general questions on emotions, like what exactly are emotions or what makes them special in comparison to other mental states. We have collected a total of 750 data of physiological signal measurements from a diversified group of subjects like children, adults and senior citizens from both sex, so that the study and analysis is almost comprehensive. By the study and analysis we can conclude that the percentage recognition is above 80% for most of the emotions. We can also conclude that compared to other emotions, it is difficult to stimulate and identify disgust. Also, it is very easy to evoke and identify positive emotions.
like happiness unlike negative emotions like fear and disgust. Use of more than one physiological parameter increases the robustness and reliability of the system.
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